



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/700,786 | 11/03/2003 | Mariusz H. Jakubowski | MS1-1664US | 5484 |
| 22801 | 7590 | 12/17/2008 | | |
| LEE & HAYES, PLLC 601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 1400 SPOKANE, WA 99201 | | | EXAMINER DEBNATH, SUMAN | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2435 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 12/17/2008 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/700,786

Applicant(s)

JAKUBOWSKI ET AL.

Examiner

SUMAN DEBNATH

Art Unit

2435

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David S. Thompson.

(3) _____.

(2) Suman Debnath.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 32, 56 and 57.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 2003/0105980 A1, US 6,272,631 B1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative provided further explanation on the present invention and Claim 1, 32, 56 and 57 were discussed. Examiner pointed out to the Applicant the problem associated with the claim language and discussed the cited prior art in regards to the claim limitation. No agreement was reached..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Suman Debnath/
Examiner, Art Unit 2435